

# 2022

## Use of Force

### Quarterly Report

1<sup>st</sup> Quarter: January 1 - March 31, 2022

---

**Albany Police Department**

---



Report prepared by: Accreditation Manager Merab Smith and the APD Use of Force Instructors and Trainers

## Introduction

This is the first quarterly report for Use of Force incidents for the Albany Police Department in 2022. Quarterly reports are designed to provide supervisors and training coordinators with information regarding use of force incidents. The Albany Police Department started compiling quarterly use of force data in January 2020. This report will be compared to the past three years quarterly data. This information will be utilized to identify patterns or trends that could indicate training needs, equipment upgrades, and/or policy modifications. The first quarter data ranges from January 1 to March 31, 2022. There were 47 use of force incidents and 167 uses of force on 60 subjects. There are more total uses of force because many times an officer will use force that may be ineffective thus requiring more than one force technique during an incident or if multiple officers are present and called upon to use force, this accounts for all uses of force during each incident.

During the first quarter, there were several incidents that involved high-risk traffic stops. These incidents require multiple officers who are trained to display their handgun while approaching the suspect vehicle. Each officer that displays their handgun is required to complete a use of force incident report for each person that was in the vehicle. For example, if there are 4 subjects in the vehicle during a high-risk stop, and 5 officers who each display their handgun at the subjects, this one incident results in 20 uses of force.

Officers use de minimis force whenever possible. De minimis force is defined as a physical interaction meant to separate, guide, and/or control without the use of formal control techniques, or other techniques that are intended to, or reasonably likely to cause any pain or injury. The use of force requires notification and report when physical force, except de minimis force, is used.

## Classification of Initiating Call

The following information outlines the types of calls that initiated the need for use of force by the Albany Police Department. Due to the large number of call types, we have condensed this information into 7 categories.

Classification of Initiating Call	Q1 2020		Q1 2021		Q1 2022	
Fight or Disturbance	7	13.5%	9	19.6%	14	29.8%
Assist Other Agency/Warrant	15	28.8%	11	23.9%	9	19.1%
Traffic	11	21.2%	7	15.2%	1	2.1%
Property Crime	4	7.7%	5	10.9%	11	23.4%
Mental Health or Suicidal	3	5.8%	3	6.5%	0	0.0%
Drug Related	4	7.7%	1	2.2%	0	0.0%
Other	8	15.4%	10	21.7%	12	25.5%
<b>Total Responses</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

**Fight or Disturbance:** Assault, Disorderly Conduct, Interfering, Resisting Arrest, Domestic, Harassment, Menacing, Weapons.

**Assist Other Agency/Warrant:** Warrants served by our department, or with our assistance, for other agencies, Wanted Persons.

**Traffic:** Traffic Crime, DUII, Pursuit, Elude, Hit & Run, Careless Driving.

**Property Crime:** Burglary, Theft, Criminal Mischief, Vandalism, Fraud, Shoplifting, Stolen or Recovered Vehicle.

**Mental Health or Suicidal:** Emotionally Disabled Person, Suicidal Subject, Police Officer Hold, Welfare Check.

**Drug Related:** Narcotics warrant or any other incident where drugs were a primary causal factor.

**Other:** Miscellaneous Crime, Public Indecency, Trespass, Curfew, Exclusion, Restraining Order Violation, Sex Offense, Runaway, Suspicious Person, Suspicious Circumstances, Reckless Endangering, MIP, Robbery, Animal Complaints.

## Other Factors

In 2021, we began tracking whether the incident involved a mental health crisis and if the subject was under the influence of alcohol or drugs. In the first quarter of 2022, of the 47 incidents that involved use of force 6 were directly related to a mental health crisis. Of the 60 subjects involved in the 47 use of force incidents, 18 of the subjects were under the influence during the time of the incident.

## Breakdown and Comparison of Subjects by Gender, Race, and Age

Perceived Gender	Q1 2020		Q1 2021		Q1 2022	
Male	45	86.5%	37	78.7%	49	81.7%
Female	7	13.5%	10	21.3%	11	18.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Perceived Race	Q1 2020		Q1 2021		Q1 2022	
White	41	78.8%	39	83.0%	54	90.0%
Black	6	11.5%	4	8.5%	1	1.7%
Hispanic	2	3.8%	4	8.5%	5	8.3%
Native American	2	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Unknown	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Age	Q1 2020		Q1 2021		Q1 2022	
17 and Younger	2	3.8%	5	10.6%	1	1.7%
18 - 20	1	1.9%	4	8.5%	1	1.7%
21 - 30	19	36.5%	14	29.8%	21	35.0%
31 - 40	12	23.1%	18	38.3%	20	33.3%
41 - 50	13	25.0%	3	6.4%	8	13.3%
51 - 60	4	7.7%	2	4.3%	8	13.3%
61 and Older	1	1.9%	1	2.1%	1	1.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

## Day of Week/Time of Day

Day of Incident	Q1 2020		Q1 2021		Q1 2022	
Sunday	10	19.2%	9	19.6%	3	6.4%
Monday	6	11.5%	9	19.6%	4	8.5%
Tuesday	9	17.3%	4	8.7%	4	8.5%
Wednesday	7	13.5%	3	6.5%	11	23.4%
Thursday	7	13.5%	6	13.0%	9	19.1%
Friday	5	9.6%	12	26.1%	7	14.9%
Saturday	8	15.4%	3	6.5%	9	19.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Time of Incident	Q1 2020		Q1 2021		Q1 2022	
0001 - 0400	17	32.7%	11	23.9%	6	12.8%
0401 - 0800	2	3.8%	2	4.3%	4	8.5%
0801 - 1200	4	7.7%	7	15.2%	11	23.4%
1201 - 1600	8	15.4%	12	26.1%	11	23.4%
1601 - 2000	11	21.2%	8	17.4%	6	12.8%
2001 - 0000	10	19.2%	6	13.0%	9	19.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

## Resistance Encountered

We changed our reporting software to Guardian Tracking in May 2021. During this time our department use of force coordinators assessed our reporting categories and added active resistance and high-risk subjects. Adding these categories created an increase in overall resistance encountered for the current quarter.

<b>Resistance</b>	<b>Q1 2020</b>		<b>Q1 2021</b>		<b>Q1 2022</b>	
Verbally Aggressive	37	<b>20.6%</b>	24	<b>20.3%</b>	34	<b>13.2%</b>
Push/Shove/Pull	26	<b>14.4%</b>	6	<b>5.1%</b>	17	<b>6.6%</b>
Fighting Stance	10	<b>5.6%</b>	10	<b>8.5%</b>	17	<b>6.6%</b>
Passive Resistance	25	<b>13.9%</b>	17	<b>14.4%</b>	12	<b>4.7%</b>
Attempt to Escape	20	<b>11.1%</b>	13	<b>11.0%</b>	14	<b>5.4%</b>
Other	14	<b>7.8%</b>	11	<b>9.3%</b>	4	<b>1.6%</b>
Hiding/Barricaded	4	<b>2.2%</b>	2	<b>1.7%</b>	9	<b>3.5%</b>
Elude on Foot/Bicycle	15	<b>8.3%</b>	7	<b>5.9%</b>	8	<b>3.1%</b>
Leg/Knee/Foot Strike	3	<b>1.7%</b>	3	<b>2.5%</b>	0	<b>0.0%</b>
Hand/Elbow Strike	1	<b>0.6%</b>	0	<b>0.0%</b>	2	<b>0.8%</b>
Stabbing Instrument	2	<b>1.1%</b>	1	<b>0.8%</b>	5	<b>1.9%</b>
Elude Vehicle	10	<b>5.6%</b>	9	<b>7.6%</b>	12	<b>4.7%</b>
Suicidal	8	<b>4.4%</b>	6	<b>5.1%</b>	1	<b>0.4%</b>
Firearm - Handgun	3	<b>1.7%</b>	3	<b>2.5%</b>	1	<b>0.4%</b>
Impact Weapon	1	<b>0.6%</b>	6	<b>5.1%</b>	5	<b>1.9%</b>
Firearm - Shotgun	0	<b>0.0%</b>	0	<b>0.0%</b>	0	<b>0.0%</b>
Firearm - Rifle	1	<b>0.6%</b>	0	<b>0.0%</b>	0	<b>0.0%</b>
OC Chemical Spray	0	<b>0.0%</b>	0	<b>0.0%</b>	0	<b>0.0%</b>
High Risk Subject					80	<b>31.1%</b>
Active Resistance					36	<b>14.0%</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>257</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

## Type of Force Used

Type of Force Used	Q1 2020		Q1 2021		Q1 2022	
40mm LL Display	1	0.9%	3	3.4%	2	1.2%
40mm LL Deploy	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.6%
Arm Bar/Takedown	14	12.0%	7	8.0%	5	3.0%
ASP Baton Display	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%
ASP Baton Deploy	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	1	0.6%
Bent Wrist Lock	8	6.8%	4	4.6%	7	4.2%
Carbine Display	3	2.6%	8	9.2%	3	1.8%
Carbine Deploy	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	1.2%
Escort Hold	3	2.6%	8	9.2%	10	6.0%
Focused Blow	2	1.7%	4	4.6%	2	1.2%
Hair Hold Takedown	1	0.9%	1	1.1%	2	1.2%
Handgun Display	21	17.9%	18	20.7%	46	27.5%
Handgun Fired	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.6%
K-9 Bite	1	0.9%	2	2.3%	1	0.6%
K-9 Deploy	2	1.7%	4	4.6%	2	1.2%
K-9 Display	1	0.9%	1	1.1%	9	5.4%
Leg Sweep	4	3.4%	3	3.4%	4	2.4%
Mandibular Pressure Point	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
O.C. Deploy	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
O.C. Spray Display	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Other	3	2.6%	2	2.3%	13	7.8%
Push/Shove	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	14	8.4%
Shotgun Display	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	6	3.6%
Subclavian/Shoulder Lock	2	1.7%	0	0.0%	3	1.8%
Tackle/Takedown	0	0.0%	2	2.3%	10	6.0%
Taser Deploy	12	10.3%	4	4.6%	1	0.6%
Taser Display	23	19.7%	9	10.3%	19	11.4%
Wrap Restraint	14	12.0%	4	4.6%	3	1.8%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

### Total Arrests Versus Total Use of Force Incidents

Arrests vs. Incidents	Q1 2020	Q1 2021	Q1 2022
Arrests	1,736	1,290	1,170
Use of Force Incidents	52	46	47
<b>Total Percentage</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>4.0%</b>

### Total Calls for Service Versus Total Use of Force Incidents

Calls for Service vs. Incidents	Q1 2020	Q1 2021	Q1 2022
Calls for Service	9,424	7,592	7,320
Use of Force Incidents	52	46	47
<b>Total Percentage</b>	<b>0.55%</b>	<b>0.61%</b>	<b>0.64%</b>

### Officer Injuries

Officer Injuries During Use of Force	Q1 2020		Q1 2021		Q1 2022	
No Injury	79	97.5%	51	91.1%	96	98.0%
Minor - No Medical Attention	1	1.2%	3	5.4%	2	2.0%
Minor - Medical Attention	1	1.2%	2	3.6%	0	0.0%
Hospital	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Fatality	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

### Other Persons Injured

Person Injuries During Use of Force	Q1 2020		Q1 2021		Q1 2022	
No Injury	42	80.8%	42	89.4%	57	95.0%
Minor - No Medical Attention	2	3.8%	2	4.3%	0	0.0%
Minor - Medical Attention	6	11.5%	1	2.1%	3	5.0%
Hospital	2	3.8%	2	4.3%	0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>100.0%</b>